arXiv:1304.6589v1 [cs.IT] 24 Apr 2013

Partitions of Frobenius Rings Induced by the Homogeneous Weight

Heide Gluesing-Luerssen*
University of Kentucky
Department of Mathematics
715 Patterson Office Tower
Lexington, KY 40506-0027, USA
heide.gl@uky.edu

April 25, 2013

Abstract: The values of the homogenous weight on a finite commutative Frobenius ring are determined. This is used to investigate the partition induced by this weight and its dual partition under character-theoretic dualization. A characterization is given of those rings for which the induced partition is reflexive or even self-dual.

Keywords: Homogeneous weight, finite commutative Frobenius rings, reflexive partitions

MSC (2000): 94B05, 94B99, 16L60

1 Introduction

The homogeneous weight has been studied extensively in the literature of codes over rings. It has been introduced by Constantinescu and Heise [5] as a generalization of both the Hamming weight on finite fields and the Lee weight on $\mathbb{Z}_4$. Its main feature is that the average weight of the elements in a nonzero principal ideal is the same constant for all such ideals. The weight has been further generalized to arbitrary non-commutative finite rings by Greferath and Schmidt [16] as well as Honold and Nechaev [20]. The homogeneous weight has proven to be an important tool in ring-linear coding. For instance, in [9] Duursma et al. construct non-linear codes with the best parameters so far using certain ring-linear codes and where the ring is endowed with the homogenous weight.

These and other properties of the homogeneous weight have led to an extensive study of this weight. Among other things, it has been shown that the MacWilliams extension theorem remains true for isomorphisms preserving the homogeneous weight, see Constantinescu et al. [6] for codes over the integer residue ring $\mathbb{Z}_N$, Wood [25] and Greferath and Schmidt [16] for codes over general finite Frobenius rings, and Greferath et al. [14] for codes over the Frobenius module of a finite ring.

On the other hand, so far no explicit MacWilliams identity for the homogeneous weight enumerators of codes has been established in any general form. Such identities relate a suitably defined weight enumerator of a code to the weight enumerator (or a dual version thereof) of its dual code. MacWilliams identities are well known for many weight functions, e.g., the Hamming weight, the complete weight, the Lee weight, and many more.

The non-existence of an explicit MacWilliams identity for the homogeneous weight is due to the fact that the partition induced by this weight does not behave as well under dualization as

---

[*] The author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grants #DMS-0908379 and #DMS-1210061.

those for the Hamming weight or the other weights just mentioned. More precisely, the induced partition is in general not self-dual with respect to a certain character-theoretic dualization. In this paper we will study the homogeneous weight partition on general finite commutative Frobenius rings and will provide a characterization of those rings, for which the partition is reflexive (that is, coincides with its bidual) or even self-dual. Reflexivity, which is weaker than self-duality, guarantees a MacWilliams identity because in this case the partition and its dual have the same number of partition sets. In this case we will also provide the associated Krawtchouk coefficients. With these data, an explicit MacWilliams identity relating the corresponding partition enumerators is simply an instance of the general theory about reflexive partitions, see for instance [13] or Camion [3]. A precursor of these ideas is the paper [2] by Greferath et al.

Our main tool will be the fact that each finite commutative Frobenius ring can be presented as a direct product of local Frobenius rings. For the latter the homogeneous weight is well-known and takes a very simple form. Another main ingredient is an explicit formula for the value of the homogeneous weight provided by Honold [18]. These two tools will allow us to give an explicit formula for the homogeneous weight on any finite commutative Frobenius ring. This is carried out in Section 3.

In Section 4 we then go on and study the partition induced by the homogeneous weight. We will see that all elements outside the socle have the same weight, thus form one partition set, whereas in the socle the homogeneous partition is closely related to the product of Hamming partitions that are induced by a suitable direct product representation of the ring. In fact, we will show that the homogeneous partition is reflexive if and only if its restriction to the socle coincides with the just described product of the Hamming partitions. We also give a characterization of the rings for which the homogeneous partition is reflexive, It is given in terms of the orders of the residue fields of the local component rings. Finally, we will prove that the homogeneous weight partition is self-dual if and only if it is reflexive and the ring is semi-simple.

2 Frobenius Rings and Partitions

Throughout this section, let $G = (G, +)$ be a finite abelian group, and let R be a finite commutative ring with unity. Denote its group of units by R^*. Our main subject is partitions and their duals of R or R^n, but occasionally we need to consider the group case; mainly for the situation where G is the additive group of an ideal of R. For this reason we present the main notions of this section for groups with special emphasis on rings.

Denote by $\widehat{G}$ the complex character group of G. Thus $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}\big(G, \mathbb{C}^*\big)$ is the set of all group homomorphisms from G into $\mathbb{C}^*$ with addition $(\chi_1 + \chi_2)(a) := \chi_1(a)\chi_2(a)$. The zero element is the *principal character* $\varepsilon \in \widehat{G}$, given by $\varepsilon(a) = 1$ for all $a \in G$. It is well known that G and $\widehat{G}$ are isomorphic. The most fundamental property of characters on G is the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{a\in G} \chi(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \chi \neq \varepsilon, \\ |G|, & \text{if } \chi = \varepsilon. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

For a ring R and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\widehat{R^n}$ the character group of the additive group $(R^n, +)$. This group can be endowed with an R-module structure via the scalar multiplication $r\chi(v) = \chi(rv)$ for all $r \in R$ and $v \in R^n$.

Throughout this paper, we will restrict ourselves to finite commutative Frobenius rings. These are the rings R satisfying

$$\mathrm{soc}(R) \cong R/\mathrm{rad}(R) \tag{2.2}$$

as R-modules, where $\mathrm{soc}(R)$ denotes the socle of R, i.e., the sum of all minimal ideals, and $\mathrm{rad}(R)$

is the Jacobson radical of R, i.e., the intersection of all maximal ideals in R; since R is finite it coincides with the nilradical, i.e., the ideal of all nilpotent elements in R.

We summarize the following properties about Frobenius rings, some of which actually characterize the Frobenius property, but we will not engage in that discussion. Details can be found in many books on ring theory, e.g., [22, Ch. 6] by Lam or in the research articles by Lamprecht [23], Hirano [17], Wood [26, Thm. 3.10], and Honold [18].

Remark 2.1. Let R be a finite commutative Frobenius ring. Then the following are true.

(a) R and $\hat{R}$ are isomorphic R-modules. Thus, there exists a character $\chi \in \hat{R}$ such that

$$R \longrightarrow \hat{R}, \quad r \longmapsto r\chi \tag{2.3}$$

is an R-isomorphism. Any such χ is called a *generating character* of R. Obviously, any two generating characters χ, χ' differ by a unit, i.e., $\chi' = u\chi$ for some $u \in R^*$. More generally, for each n, the map

$$R^n \longrightarrow \widehat{R^n}, \quad v \longmapsto \chi_v, \quad \text{where } \chi_v(w) = \chi(v \cdot w) \tag{2.4}$$

is an R-isomorphism (here $v \cdot w$ denotes the standard inner product on R^n).

(b) Let χ be a character of R. Then χ is a generating character of R if and only if the only ideal contained in $\ker\chi := \{a \in R \mid \chi(a) = 1\}$ is the zero ideal; see [4, Cor. 3.6].

(c) R satisfies the double annihilator property, i.e., $I^{\perp\perp} = I$ for all ideals I in R, and where $I^\perp = \{a \in R \mid ab = 0 \text{ for all } b \in I\}$; see [22, Thm. 15.1]. In particular, $\mathrm{soc}(R)^\perp = \mathrm{rad}(R)$ and $\mathrm{rad}(R)^\perp = \mathrm{soc}(R)$.

(d) $\mathrm{soc}(R)$ is a principal ideal [16, p. 21].

(e) $R = R_1 \times \ldots \times R_t$ for suitable local Frobenius rings R_i; see [22, (15.27)].

If R is also local, that is, $\mathrm{rad}(R)$ is the unique maximal ideal of R, then $\mathrm{soc}(R)$ is the unique minimal ideal [22, Ex. (3.14)]. In this case the field $R/\mathrm{rad}(R)$ is called the *residue field of* R.

Many standard examples of commutative rings are Frobenius. For details we refer to Wood [26, Ex. 4.4] and Lam [22, Sec. 16.B] and many other sources.

Example 2.2. (a) The integer residue rings $\mathbb{Z}_N$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, are Frobenius. Each generating character is of the form $\chi(g) := \zeta^g$ for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, where $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ is an N-th primitive root of unity. Thus, each character is given by $a\chi(g) = \chi(ag) = \zeta^{ag}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_N$.

(b) Every finite field is Frobenius and every non-principal character of $\mathbb{F}$ is a generating character.

(c) Finite chain rings, finite group rings over a Frobenius ring, direct products of Frobenius rings, and Galois rings are Frobenius.

(d) The ring $R = \mathbb{F}_2[x, y]/(x^2, y^2, xy)$ is a local, non-Frobenius ring; see [4, Ex. 3.2].

For a subgroup $H \le G$ (called an *additive code*) we define the *dual subgroup* as

$$H^\perp := \{\chi \in \widehat{G} \mid \chi(h) = 1 \text{ for all } h \in H\}. \tag{2.5}$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\widehat{H} \cong \widehat{G}/H^\perp. \tag{2.6}$$

As usual, a *code over* R is a submodule of R^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following the above, the *dual code* is $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{\chi \in \widehat{R^n} \mid \chi(v) = 1 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Using the identification (2.4), this amounts to the standard dual ([26, Thm. 7.7] or [13, Thm. 5.4])

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{v \in R^n \mid v \cdot w = 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathcal{C}\}, \tag{2.7}$$

and thus generalizes the annihilator of ideals introduced in Remark 2.1(c). It will be clear from the context, which dual is considered. The double annihilator property from Remark 2.1(c) extends to $\mathcal{C}^{\perp\perp} = \mathcal{C}$ and $|\mathcal{C}^{\perp}||\mathcal{C}| = |R^n|$, see [19, Cor. 5] or [13, Rem. 5.5].

We now turn to partitions on G and fix the following notation. A partition $\mathcal{P} = (P_m)_{m=1}^{M}$ of a set X will mostly be written as $\mathcal{P} = P_1 \,|\, P_2 \,|\, \ldots \,|\, P_M$. The sets of the partition are called its *blocks*, and we write $|\mathcal{P}|$ for the number of blocks in $\mathcal{P}$. Recall that two partitions $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are called *identical* if $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{Q}|$ and the blocks coincide after suitable indexing. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}$ is called *finer* than $\mathcal{Q}$ (or $\mathcal{Q}$ is *coarser* than $\mathcal{P}$), written as $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathcal{Q}$, if every block of $\mathcal{P}$ is contained in a block of $\mathcal{Q}$. Note that if $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathcal{Q}$ then $|\mathcal{P}| \geq |\mathcal{Q}|$. Denote by $\sim_{\mathcal{P}}$ the equivalence relation induced by $\mathcal{P}$, thus, $v \sim_{\mathcal{P}} v'$ if v, v' are in the same block of $\mathcal{P}$.

The following notion of a dual partition will be crucial for us. It has been introduced for Frobenius rings by Byrne et al. [2, p. 291] and goes back to the notion of F-partitions as introduced by Zinoviev and Ericson in [27]. Reflexive partitions, defined below, appear already in [28] by Zinoviev and Ericson were they have been coined B-partitions. They are exactly the partitions that induce abelian association schemes as studied in a more general context by Delsarte [7], Camion [3], and others, see also [8]. For an overview of these various approaches and their relations in the language of partitions see also [13].

Throughout, we will use the notation $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $[n]_0 := \{0, \ldots, n\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{P} = P_1 \,|\, P_2 \,|\, \ldots \,|\, P_M$ be a partition of G.

(a) The *dual partition* of $\mathcal{P}$, denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$, is the partition of $\widehat{G}$ defined via the equivalence relation

$$\chi \sim_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}} \chi' :\Longleftrightarrow \sum_{g \in P_m} \chi(g) = \sum_{g \in P_m} \chi'(g) \text{ for all } m \in [M]. \tag{2.8}$$

For the pair $(\mathcal{P}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}})$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = Q_1 \,|\, \ldots \,|\, Q_L$, the *generalized Krawtchouk coefficients* $K_{\ell,m}$, $\ell \in [L]$, $m \in [M]$, are defined as $K_{\ell,m} = \sum_{g \in P_m} \chi(g)$, where χ is any element in Q_ℓ. The partition $\mathcal{P}$ is called *reflexive* if $\widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}} = \mathcal{P}$.

(b) Let R be a Frobenius ring with generating character χ. For $G = (R^n, +)$, we define the χ-*dual partition* of $\mathcal{P}$, denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]}$, as the preimage of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ under the identification (2.4). Thus, $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]}$ is the partition of R^n given by the equivalence relation

$$v \sim_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]}} v' :\Longleftrightarrow \sum_{w \in P_m} \chi(v \cdot w) = \sum_{w \in P_m} \chi(v' \cdot w) \text{ for all } m = 1, \ldots, M. \tag{2.9}$$

The Krawtchouk coefficients of $(\mathcal{P}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]})$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]} = Q'_1 \,|\, \ldots \,|\, Q'_L$, are

$$K_{\ell,m} = \sum_{w \in P_m} \chi(v \cdot w), \text{ where } v \text{ is any element in } Q'_\ell. \tag{2.10}$$

The partition $\mathcal{P}$ is called χ-*self-dual* if $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]} = \mathcal{P}$.

Note that by definition of the dual partition, the Krawtchouk coefficients $K_{\ell,m}$ do not depend on the choice of χ in Q_ℓ (resp. v in Q'_ℓ).

It is not hard to see [13, Rem. 5.8] that $\widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]}}^{[\chi]} = \widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}$ for any χ (where $\widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}$ is the bidual partition in the group sense). As a consequence, in the situation of (b) above, reflexivity does not depend on the generating character χ. This is different from the χ-dual partition, which in general does depend on χ, and thus so does χ-self-duality.

Example 2.4. Consider the field $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0,\, 1,\, a,\, a^2\}$, where $a^2 = a + 1$. The maps χ, $\tilde{\chi}$ given by

$$\chi(0) = \chi(1) = 1,\ \chi(a) = \chi(a^2) = -1 \ \text{ and } \ \tilde{\chi}(0) = \tilde{\chi}(a) = 1,\ \tilde{\chi}(1) = \tilde{\chi}(a^2) = -1,$$

are characters of $\mathbb{F}_4$. As in (2.3) they give rise to the isomorphisms $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_4 \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}_4}$, $r \mapsto r\chi$ and $\beta : \mathbb{F}_4 \to \widehat{\mathbb{F}_4}$, $r \mapsto r\tilde{\chi}$. Consider the partition $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{F}_4$ given by $P_0 \,|\, P_1 \,|\, P_2 = 0 \,|\, 1 \,|\, a, a^2$. Then $\sum_{b \in P_j} \chi(ab) = \sum_{b \in P_j} \chi(a^2 b) = (-1)^j$ for $j = 0, 1$, while $\sum_{b \in P_2} \chi(ab) = \chi(a^2) + \chi(1) = 0$ and $\sum_{b \in P_2} \chi(a^2 b) = \chi(1) + \chi(a) = 0$. Thus, $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]} = \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is χ-self-dual, hence also reflexive. In the same way one computes $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\tilde{\chi}]}$ and obtains $\mathcal{Q} := \widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\tilde{\chi}]} = 0 \,|\, 1, a^2 \,|\, a$. Hence $\mathcal{P}$ is not $\tilde{\chi}$-self-dual. One easily verifies $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^{[\tilde{\chi}]} = \mathcal{P}$, which also follows from the fact that reflexivity does not depend on the choice of the generating character.

Remark 2.5. For the integer residue rings $R = \mathbb{Z}_N$, the dual of a partition does not depend on the choice of the generating character. This follows immediately from Example 2.2(a) along with the fact that all primitive N-th roots of unity have the same minimal polynomial. The latter implies that the identities on the right hand side of (2.9) do not depend on the choice of the primitive N-th root ζ.

For many standard partitions on Frobenius rings, e.g., the Hamming partition, the dual does not depend on the choice of the generating character. In the next section we will see that this is also the case for the main topic of this paper, partitions induced by the homogeneous weight.

Let us return to the general situation of partitions of groups. We have the following simple observation.

Remark 2.6. (a) The singleton $\{\varepsilon\}$ is always a block of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$. Indeed, $\sum_{g \in P_m} \varepsilon(g) = |P_m|$ for every block P_m. Hence if $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ satisfies $\sum_{g \in P_m} \chi(g) = |P_m|$ for all P_m, then $\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) = |G|$ and thus $\chi = \varepsilon$ by (2.1). Using (2.4) we also conclude that $\{0\}$ is a block of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}^{[\chi]}$.

(b) If $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathcal{Q}$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} \leq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$. This follows directly from the definition of the dual partitions since each block of $\mathcal{Q}$ is the union of blocks of $\mathcal{P}$.

As has been shown in various forms in the literature [7, 28, 2, 13], a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of R^n and its dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ allow a MacWilliams identity: applying a certain MacWilliams transformation to the $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$-partition enumerator of a code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq R^n$ results in the $\mathcal{P}$-enumerator of its dual $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$. For an overview in the language of this paper see [13, Sec. 2]. The most symmetric situation arises for reflexive (or even self-dual) partitions, in which case the transformation can be carried out in both directions, and thus the two enumerators determine each other uniquely. Most, if not all, classical examples of MacWilliams identities are instances of this general MacWilliams identity based on a self-dual partition (for instance, for the symmetrized Lee weight, the complete weight, and the rank metric).

In the next section we will study the partition on a Frobenius ring induced by the homogeneous weight and investigate for which rings the partition is reflexive or even self-dual. Our main tool for characterizing reflexivity of a partition is the following convenient criterion from [13, Thm. 3.1].

Theorem 2.7. *For any partition $\mathcal{P}$ on G and its dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ we have $|\mathcal{P}| \leq |\widehat{\mathcal{P}}|$ and $\widehat{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}} \leq \mathcal{P}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}$ is reflexive if and only if $|\mathcal{P}| = |\widehat{\mathcal{P}}|$.*

We close this section with several specific instances of reflexive partitions that will be needed in the next section.

The most famous MacWilliams identity is the one for the Hamming weight enumerator. We will need the Hamming partition and its Krawtchouk coefficients in the following form in the next section.

Example 2.8. Let $G = A_1 \times \ldots \times A_n$, where A_i is a finite abelian group for all i. Then $\widehat{G} = \widehat{A_1} \times \ldots \times \widehat{A_n}$, i.e., the characters of G are given by

$$(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \chi_i(a_i).$$

Assume $|A_i| = q$ for all i, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be the partition of G induced by the Hamming weight, that is, $P_m = \{a \in G \mid \mathrm{wt}(a) = m\}$ for $m \in [n]_0 = \{0, \ldots, n\}$, and where $\mathrm{wt}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = |\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}|$. Then it is well known that the dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ is the partition induced by the Hamming weight on $\widehat{A_1} \times \ldots \times \widehat{A_n}$. In other words, the blocks of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ are $Q_\ell = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} \mid \mathrm{wt}(\chi) = \ell\}$ for $\ell \in [n]_0$, and where $\mathrm{wt}(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n) = |\{i \mid \chi_i \neq \varepsilon\}|$ since ε is the zero element of $\widehat{G}$. The Krawtchouk coefficients are $K_{\ell,m} = \sum_{a \in P_m} \chi(a) = K_m^{(n,q)}(\ell)$ for $\chi \in Q_\ell$, and where

$$K_m^{(n,q)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j (q-1)^{m-j} \binom{x}{j} \binom{n-x}{m-j} \tag{2.11}$$

is the Krawtchouk polynomial (see for instance [7, Thm. 4.1] or Lemma 2.6.2 in [21]; the proof of Lemma 2.6.2 in [21], given for $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$, works mutatis mutandis for all $A_1 \times \ldots \times A_n$). All of this shows that the Hamming partition on G is reflexive. Finally, the Hamming partition on the module R^n is self-dual with respect to any generating character χ by virtue of (2.4).

When studying the partition induced by the homogeneous weight, we will need to consider product partitions. The following result will suffice for us. Let $G = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$, where $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ are finite abelian groups and let $\mathcal{P}_i$ be reflexive partitions of A_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Write $\mathcal{P}_i = P_{i,1} \mid P_{i,2} \mid \ldots \mid P_{i,M_i}$. Then the *product partition* on G is defined as

$$\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{P}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{P}_n := (P_{1,m_1} \times \ldots \times P_{n,m_n})_{(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in [M_1] \times \cdots \times [M_n]}. \tag{2.12}$$

Parts of the next result also appeared in [28, Thm. 4] and [3, Thm. 4.86].

Theorem 2.9 ([13, Thm. 4.3 and proof]). *The dual partition of $\mathcal{Q}$ is $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}} = \widehat{\mathcal{P}_1} \times \cdots \times \widehat{\mathcal{P}_n}$, and in particular, $\mathcal{Q}$ is reflexive. Furthermore, the Krawtchouk coefficients of $(\mathcal{Q}, \widehat{\mathcal{Q}})$ are*

$$K_{(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n),(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} K^{(i)}_{\ell_i, m_i} \text{ for all } (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n),\ (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in [M_1] \times \cdots \times [M_n],$$

where $K^{(i)}_{\ell,m}$, $\ell, m \in [M_i]$, are the Krawtchouk coefficients of $(\mathcal{P}_i, \widehat{\mathcal{P}_i})$.

The following result shows that the trivial extension of a partition of a subgroup behaves well under dualization. The notation $\chi_{|H}$ stands for the restriction of χ to H, whereas ε_G and ε_H denote the principal characters on G and H, respectively.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of G, and let $\mathcal{P} = P_0 \mid \ldots \mid P_M$ be a partition of H. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = Q_0 \mid Q_1 \mid \ldots \mid Q_L$, where $Q_0 = \{\varepsilon_H\}$ (see Remark 2.6(a)). Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ is a partition of $\widehat{H}$. Define $P_{-1} := G \setminus H$. Then $\mathcal{P}' = P_{-1} \mid P_0 \mid \ldots \mid P_M$ is a partition of G. The dual partition of $\mathcal{P}'$ is given by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}'} = Q'_{-1} \mid Q'_0 \mid Q'_1, \ldots \mid Q'_L$, where $Q'_{-1} = H^\perp \backslash \{\varepsilon_G\}$, $Q'_0 = \{\varepsilon_G\}$ and $Q'_\ell = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} \setminus H^\perp \mid \chi_{|H} \in Q_\ell\}$ for $\ell \in [L]$. The Krawtchouk coefficients of $(\mathcal{P}', \widehat{\mathcal{P}'})$ are*

$$(K'_{\ell,m})_{\substack{\ell=-1,\ldots,L \\ m=-1,\ldots,M}} = \begin{pmatrix} -|H| & |P_0| & \cdots & |P_M| \\ |P_{-1}| & K_{0,0} & \ldots & K_{0,M} \\ 0 & K_{1,0} & \ldots & K_{1,M} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & K_{L,0} & \ldots & K_{L,M} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $K_{\ell,m}$, $\ell \in [L]_0$, $m \in [M]_0$, are the Krawtchouk coefficients of $(\mathcal{P}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}})$. As a consequence, if $\mathcal{P}$ is reflexive then so is $\mathcal{P}'$.

Proof. We have to consider various cases.
1) Let $\chi \in Q'_\ell$ for $\ell \in [L]$. Then $\sum_{a\in P_m} \chi(a) = \sum_{a\in P_m} \chi_{|H}(a) = K_{\ell,m}$ for each for $m \in [M]_0$. Furthermore, (2.1) yields $\sum_{a\in G\backslash H} \chi(a) = -\sum_{a\in H} \chi(a) = -\sum_{a\in H} \chi_{|H}(a)$. But the latter is zero due to (2.1) since $\chi_{|H}$ is not the principal character on H.
2) Next, let $\chi \in Q'_{-1} = H^\perp \backslash \{\varepsilon_G\}$. Then $\chi(a) = 1$ for all $a \in P_m$, $m \in [M]_0$. Thus $\sum_{a\in P_m} \chi(a) = |P_m|$ for all $m \in [M]_0$. Moreover, $\sum_{a\in G\backslash H} \chi(a) = -\sum_{a\in H} \chi(a) = -|H|$.
3) For $\chi = \varepsilon_G$ we obviously have $\sum_{a\in P_m} \chi(a) = |P_m|$ for all $m \in \{-1, 0, \ldots, M\}$. For the same reason, $|P_m| = K_{0,m}$ for all $m \in [M]_0$.
Evidently, in all of these cases the sums do not depend on the specific choice of χ within the specified set, and thus the partition $\mathcal{Q} := Q'_{-1} \,|\, Q'_0 \,|\, Q'_1, \ldots \,|\, Q'_L$ is finer than or equal to $\widehat{\mathcal{P}'}$. The above also establishes the Krawtchouk coefficients stated in (2.13). Since $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ is the dual partition of $\mathcal{P}$, Definition 2.3(a) implies that no two rows of the matrix in (2.13) coincide. This means that if $\chi \in Q'_\ell$ and $\chi' \in Q'_{\ell'}$, where $\ell \neq \ell'$, then $\chi \not\sim_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}'}} \chi'$. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{P}'} = \mathcal{Q}$, as desired. The statement concerning reflexivity follows from Theorem 2.7. ☐

We close this section with a particularly interesting class of reflexive partitions. A similar version appears also in Camion [3, Lem. 4.63] where the result is derived in the language of association schemes and presented for automorphism groups of abelian groups. We restrict ourselves to the ring setting and add a short direct proof. For $n = 1$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}_N$ the statement has also been shown by Ericson et al. [10, Thm. 1].

Proposition 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ and denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}$ the partitions of R^n given by the orbits of the group action of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T} := \{U^\mathsf{T} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$, respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}} = \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}}^{[\chi]}$ for each generating character χ, and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is reflexive. If $\mathcal{U}$ is closed under transposition (e.g., if $n = 1$), then $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is χ-self-dual with respect to any χ.*

Proof. Let $v, v' \in R^n$ be in the same partition set of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}$, thus $v' = vU^\mathsf{T}$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Evidently, $PU = P$ for each orbit P of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and thus $\sum_{w\in P} \chi(v' \cdot w) = \sum_{w\in P} \chi(vU^\mathsf{T} \cdot w) = \sum_{w\in P} \chi(v \cdot wU) = \sum_{w\in P} \chi(v \cdot w)$. This shows that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}$ is finer than or equal to $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}} := \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}}^{[\chi]}$, and thus $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}| \geq |\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}}|$. By symmetry we also have $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}| \geq |\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}}|$. Use of Theorem 2.7 yields $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}| \geq |\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}}| \geq |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}| \geq |\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}}| \geq |\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}}|$, and this establishes $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}} = \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{U}}}$ as well as reflexivity. The statement about self-duality is obvious. ☐

Note that the proposition implies the remarkable property that the actions of the groups $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}$ induce the same number of orbits. This is in general not true for non-Frobenius rings. Indeed, for R as in Example 2.2(d) the action on R^2 of the group

$$\mathcal{U} = \Big\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \,\Big|\, r \in R,\, u \in R^* \Big\} \leq GL_2(R)$$

leads to 17 orbits, whereas $\mathcal{U}^\mathsf{T}$ produces 20 orbits.

3 Explicit Values of the Homogeneous Weight

In this section we consider the homogeneous weight and determine its values for all finite commutative Frobenius rings. This will be accomplished by presenting the ring as a product of local rings. In the subsequent section the results will be used to study the partition induced by the homogeneous weight.

Throughout, let R be a finite commutative Frobenius ring with group of units R^*, and fix a generating character χ. The following definition is taken from Greferath and Schmidt [16].

Definition 3.1. The *homogeneous weight on R with average value γ* is a function $\omega : R \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that
(i) $\omega(0) = 0$,
(ii) $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ such that $(x) = (y)$ (where (x) denotes the ideal generated by x),
(iii) $\sum_{y\in(x)} \omega(y) = \gamma|(x)|$ for all $x \in R\backslash\{0\}$; in other words, the average weight over each nonzero principal ideal is γ.

In [16, Thm. 1.3] existence and uniqueness of the homogeneous weight with given average value have been proven (for any finite ring), and in [16, Cor. 1.6] the same authors show that (iii) is satisfied by the homogeneous weight for all nonzero ideals of R (for this result the Frobenius property is essential).

It is easy to see that the Hamming weight on R is homogeneous if and only if R is a field, in which case it has average value $\frac{q-1}{q}$, where $q = |R|$.

Without loss of generality we restrict ourselves to the homogeneous weight with average value $\gamma = 1$, which we call the *normalized homogeneous weight.* Thanks to Honold [18, p. 412], an explicit formula for the normalized homogeneous weight on a Frobenius ring is known and reads as

$$\omega(r) = 1 - \frac{1}{|R^*|} \sum_{u\in R^*} \chi(ru) \text{ for } r \in R; \tag{3.1}$$

see [15, Prop.1.3] for a short proof verifying that the function satisfies Definition 3.1(i) – (iii). A specific instance of this formula, tailored to Galois rings, appears also in [24].

One may note that the expression on the right hand side of (3.1) does indeed not depend on the choice of the generating character χ since different such characters differ by a unit factor.

Identity (3.1) yields

$$\sum_{u\in R^*} \chi(ru) = |R^*|(1 - \omega(r)) \text{ for } r \in R, \tag{3.2}$$

which will be useful later for determining the values of the homogeneous weight on arbitrary Frobenius rings.

Definition 3.2. The partition of R induced by homogeneous weight is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. It is thus given by the equivalence relation $r \sim_{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} r' \iff \omega(r) = \omega(r')$ for r, $r' \in R$. In other words, the blocks of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ are the sets consisting of all elements sharing the same homogeneous weight.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}^*$ the partition given by the orbits of the group action induced by R^*. Thus, $r \sim_{\mathcal{P}^*} r'$ if and only of $r' = ur$ for some $u \in R^*$. Using the fact that the orbit $\mathcal{O}_x$ of $x \in R$ is given by $\mathcal{O}_x = \{u_1 x, \ldots, u_m x\}$, where $u_1, \ldots, u_m$ are representatives of the distinct cosets of the stabilizer subgroup of $x \in R$, one obtains

$$\sum_{b\in\mathcal{O}_x} \chi(ab) = \frac{|\mathcal{O}_x|}{|R^*|} \sum_{u\in R^*} \chi(aux) \text{ for all } a,\, x \in R. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we can summarize the following simple properties, which will be needed later when computing the dual partition of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. The latter will be defined as the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}^{[x]}$ of R in the sense of Definition 2.3(b). We will now see that the dual does not depend on the choice of χ.

Remark 3.3. (a) It is immediate from Definition 3.1(ii) that $r\sim_{\mathcal{P}^*}r'$ implies $r\sim_{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}r'$, and thus $\mathcal{P}^* \leq \mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$.

(b) Consider a block P of the partition $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. By (a), $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ for a certain number M of distinct orbits $\mathcal{O}_{x_i}$. With the aid of (3.3) and (3.2) we obtain for any $a \in R$

$$\sum_{b\in P}\chi(ab) = \sum_{i=1}^{M}\sum_{b\in\mathcal{O}_{x_i}}\chi(ab) = \sum_{i=1}^{M}\frac{|\mathcal{O}_{x_i}|}{|R^*|}\sum_{u\in R^*}\chi(aux_i)$$
$$= \frac{1}{|R^*|}\sum_{i=1}^{M}|\mathcal{O}_{x_i}|\big(|R^*|(1-\omega(ax_i)\big) = \sum_{i=1}^{M}|\mathcal{O}_{x_i}|(1-\omega(ax_i)).$$

This shows that the sums $\sum_{b\in P}\chi(ab)$ do not depend on the choice of the generating character χ.

It is a consequence of the above that the dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}^{[\chi]}$ does not depend on χ. We will therefore simply denote this partition by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$, thus

$$r\sim_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}}r' \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{s\in P}\chi(rs) = \sum_{s\in P}\chi(r's) \text{ for all blocks } P \text{ of } \mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}.$$

Remark 3.3 shows that the blocks of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$ are invariant under multiplication by units. In other words, $\mathcal{P}^* \leq \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$. Note also that the Krawtchouk coefficients of $(\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}})$ are real.

The following examples illustrate that the homogeneous partition on a Frobenius ring may display a variety of different properties.

Example 3.4. (a) On $\mathbb{Z}_8$ the homogeneous partition is given by $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} = 0 \mid 1,2,3,5,6,7 \mid 4$. Its dual is $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} = 0 \mid 1,3,5,7 \mid 2,4,6$, which has been observed already in [2, Ex. 2.9]. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is not self-dual, but reflexive, due to Theorem 2.7.

(b) On $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ the homogeneous partition is easily seen to be $00, 11 \mid 01, 10$, and the values of the weight are 0 and 2, respectively. The fact that $\{00\}$ is not a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ shows that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is not the dual of any partition; see Remark 2.6(a). In particular, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is not reflexive and thus not self-dual. In Remark 3.10(b) a characterization will be presented for the Frobenius rings that contain a nonzero element with homogeneous weight zero.

(c) Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. In this case one easily verifies that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} = 00 \mid 10, 20, 01, 02 \mid 11, 12, 21, 22$ with normalized homogeneous weights 0, 3/2, and 3/4, respectively. Note that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is simply the Hamming partition on $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, and thus reflexive and even self-dual, i.e., $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} = \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$. This will also follow from Theorem 4.4.

(d) Consider $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$ and the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_4$. Using for instance the character χ on $\mathbb{F}_4$ given in Example 2.4 one derives $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} = 00 \mid 10 \mid 01, 0\alpha, 0\alpha^2 \mid 11, 1\alpha, 1\alpha^2$, and the values of the weight are 0, 2, 4/3, and 2/3, respectively. Again, it will follow from Theorem 4.4 that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is self-dual and thus reflexive.

In order to determine the values of the homogeneous weight explicitly, we start with the following well-known case.

Example 3.5. Let R be a local commutative Frobenius ring with residue field $R/\text{rad}(R)$ of order q. Then the normalized homogeneous weight is given by

$$\omega(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \frac{q}{q-1}, & \text{if } a \in \text{soc}(R)\backslash\{0\}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This can be verified immediately using the fact that $\mathrm{soc}(R)$ is the unique minimal ideal [22, Ex. (3.14)] and thus contained in any nonzero ideal of R. See also [2, Ex. 2.8] for an argument involving the Möbius function for the lattice of ideals of R. Hence $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}| = 3$. In Theorem 4.4 (see also Example 4.5(a)) we will see that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$ is reflexive and also determine the dual partition.

Our main tool for studying the homogeneous weight on arbitrary finite commutative Frobenius rings will be the fact that each such ring is the direct product of local Frobenius rings; see Remark 2.1(e). We first summarize some basic properties for direct products of Frobenius rings.

Remark 3.6. Let $R = R_1 \times \ldots \times R_t$, where each R_i is a finite (not necessarily local) commutative Frobenius ring. Furthermore, let χ_i be a generating character of R_i for all $i \in [t]$. Then R is a Frobenius ring with character module $\widehat{R} = \widehat{R_1} \times \ldots \times \widehat{R_t}$; see also Example 2.8 for the group version. A generating character χ of R is given by $\chi := (\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_t)$ defined as

$$\chi(a_1, \ldots, a_t) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i(a_i) \text{ for } (a_1, \ldots, a_t) \in R. \tag{3.4}$$

Recall also that $\mathrm{rad}(R) = \mathrm{rad}(R_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{rad}(R_t)$ and $\mathrm{soc}(R) = \mathrm{soc}(R_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{soc}(R_t)$. Finally, $R = \mathrm{soc}(R) \iff \mathrm{rad}(R) = \{0\} \iff \mathrm{rad}(R_i) = \{0\}$ for all $i \iff R_i$ is a field for all i. This last case will be of particular interest to us.

The above leads to the following identity for the values of the homogenous weight. A similar formula, but in terms of the Möbius function and for the case where all R_i are principal ideal rings, appeared in [12, Thm. 4.1], see [11, p. 4].

Proposition 3.7. *Let $R = R_1 \times \ldots \times R_t$ be a direct product of (not necessarily local) Frobenius rings. For $i \in [t]$ let ω_i be the normalized homogeneous weight on R_i. Then the normalized homogeneous weight on R is given by*

$$\omega(a_1, \ldots, a_t) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{t} \big(1 - \omega_i(a_i)\big) \textit{ for } (a_1, \ldots, a_t) \in R.$$

Proof. Let χ_i be a generating character of R_i. Then Remark 3.6 provides us with a generating character χ of R. Using (3.2) and $|R^*| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} |R_i^*|$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(u_1,\ldots,u_t)\in R^*} \chi(u_1a_1, \ldots, u_ta_t) &= \sum_{u_1\in R_1^*,\ldots,u_t\in R_t^*} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \chi_i(u_ia_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \sum_{u\in R_i^*} \chi_i(ua_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} |R_i^*|\big(1 - \omega_i(a_i)\big) \\ &= |R^*| \prod_{i=1}^{t} \big(1 - \omega_i(a_i)\big).\end{aligned}$$

Now (3.1) leads to the desired identity. □

This result brings us immediately to an explicit formula for the homogeneous weight in the following case.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $R = R_1 \times \ldots \times R_t$, where R_i is a finite local commutative Frobenius ring with residue field $R_i/\mathrm{rad}(R_i)$ of order q for all $i \in [t]$. Then*

$$\omega(a) = \begin{cases} 1 - \Big(\dfrac{-1}{q-1}\Big)^{\mathrm{wt}(a)} & \textit{if } a \in \mathrm{soc}(R), \\ 1, & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}(a) := |\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}|$ denotes the Hamming weight of $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_t)$.

Proof. This is a consequence of Example 3.5 along with Proposition 3.7 and the fact that $\mathrm{soc}(R) = \mathrm{soc}(R_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{soc}(R_t)$. ☐

In the same way we can compute the homogeneous weight on an arbitrary finite commutative Frobenius ring, when given as a direct product of local rings. We will need to keep track of the orders of the residue fields of the component rings and thus fix the following notation. For the rest of this paper let R be a finite commutative Frobenius ring given in the form

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} R = R_1 \times \ldots \times R_t, \text{ where } R_i = R_{i,1} \times \ldots \times R_{i,n_i} \text{ with } R_{i,j} \text{ local}, \\ |R_{i,j}/\mathrm{rad}(R_{i,j})| = |\mathrm{soc}(R_{i,j})| = q_i \text{ for all } j \in [n_i] \text{ and } q_1, \ldots, q_t \text{ distinct.} \end{array}\right\} \tag{3.5}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{soc}(R_{i,j}) \cong R_{i,j}/\mathrm{rad}(R_{i,j})$.

Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 yield the following generalization of Example 3.5.

Theorem 3.9. *Let R be as in (3.5) and write its elements as $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_t)$, where $a_i \in R_i$. Using the Hamming weight* wt *on each R_i, the homogeneous weight on R is given by*

$$\omega(a_1, \ldots, a_t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left(\frac{-1}{q_i - 1}\right)^{\mathrm{wt}(a_i)}, & \text{if } a \in \mathrm{soc}(R), \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We close this section with the following immediate insight about the induced partition.

Remark 3.10.

(a) $\omega(a) \neq 1$ for all $a \in \mathrm{soc}(R)$. Thus $R \setminus \mathrm{soc}(R)$ is a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$. This generalizes the situation for local Frobenius rings in Example 3.5.

(b) There exists a nonzero $a \in R$ such that $\omega(a) = 0$ if and only if there exists an $i \in [t]$ such that $q_i = 2$ and $n_i \geq 2$. In this case, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$ is not reflexive due to Remark 2.6(a). Example 3.4(b) is the smallest such ring.

A detailed study of the homogeneous partition and its dual is presented in the next section.

4 The Partition Induced by the Homogeneous Weight

We characterize the rings for which the partition $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$ induced by the homogeneous weight is reflexive or even self-dual. In the reflexive case we also determine the dual partition and the Krawtchouk coefficients explicitly.

Recall from Remark 3.3(b) and the paragraph thereafter that the dual partition of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$ in the sense of Definition 2.3(b) does not depend on the choice of the generating character and thus is denoted by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}}$.

Some basic properties of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$ were presented already in Remark 3.10. We now focus on a simple consequence of Theorem 3.9 that will turn out to be crucial for characterizing reflexivity. Recall that R is as in (3.5). Theorem 3.9 shows that the homogeneous weight of $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_t) \in R$ depends on the Hamming weights $\mathrm{wt}(a_i)$. In particular, if $a, b \in \mathrm{soc}(R)$ are such that $\mathrm{wt}(a_i) = \mathrm{wt}(b_i)$ for all $i \in [t]$, then $\omega(a) = \omega(b)$. This shows that the homogeneous partition is closely related to the product of the Hamming partitions on $\mathrm{soc}(R_i)$, $i \in [t]$.

We will therefore study this product partition first and come back to the homogeneous partition thereafter. As we will show later, the homogeneous partition is reflexive if and only if it coincides on the socle with the product of the Hamming partitions.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_i$ the Hamming partition on $\mathrm{soc}(R_i) = \mathrm{soc}(R_{i,1}) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{soc}(R_{i,n_i})$, thus $\mathcal{H}_i = P_{i,0} \mid \ldots \mid P_{i,n_i}$ with blocks $P_{i,j} = \{(a_{i,1}, \ldots, a_{i,n_i}) \in \mathrm{soc}(R_i) \mid \mathrm{wt}(a_{i,1}, \ldots, a_{i,n_i}) = j\}$. The induced product partition on $\mathrm{soc}(R_1) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{soc}(R_t) = \mathrm{soc}(R)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{H}_t, \tag{4.1}$$

see (2.12), and consists of the blocks

$$P_m := P_{1,m_1} \times \ldots \times P_{t,m_t} = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_t) \in \operatorname{soc}(R) \mid \operatorname{wt}(a_i) = m_i \text{ for all } i \in [t]\}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $m := (m_1, \ldots, m_t) \in \mathcal{M} := [n_1]_0 \times \ldots \times [n_t]_0$.

For the dual partition the following identifications are useful. Note first that $R/\operatorname{rad}(R) \cong R_1/\operatorname{rad}(R_1) \times \ldots \times R_t/\operatorname{rad}(R_t)$ for R as in (3.5). In the same way

$$R_i/\operatorname{rad}(R_i) \cong R_{i,1}/\operatorname{rad}(R_{i,1}) \times \ldots \times R_{i,n_i}/\operatorname{rad}(R_{i,n_i}). \tag{4.3}$$

This allows us to consider the Hamming weight on $R_i/\operatorname{rad}(R_i)$. For $a_i = (a_{i,1}, \ldots, a_{i,n_i}) \in R_i$ put

$$\operatorname{wt}\big(a_i + \operatorname{rad}(R_i)\big) := |\{j \mid a_{i,j} \notin \operatorname{rad}(R_{i,j})\}| = |\{j \mid a_{i,j} \in R^*_{i,j}\}|, \tag{4.4}$$

where the last identity follows from the fact that the rings $R_{i,j}$ are local.

Now we can formulate the following duality.

Theorem 4.1. *Consider $\mathcal{H} = (P_m)_{m\in\mathcal{M}}$ as above. Define the partition $\mathcal{H}'$ of R as*

$$\mathcal{H}' = \big(P_m\big)_{m\in\mathcal{M}\cup\{\diamond\}}, \text{ where } P_\diamond := R \setminus \operatorname{soc}(R).$$

The dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{H}'}^{[\chi]}$ does not depend on χ and is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}'}^{[\chi]} = \big(Q_m\big)_{m\in\mathcal{M}\cup\{\diamond\}},$$

where $Q_\diamond = \operatorname{rad}(R)\backslash\{0\}$, $Q_0 = \{0\}$ and for $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_t) \in \mathcal{M}\backslash\{0\}$

$$Q_m = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_t) \in R \setminus \operatorname{rad}(R) \mid \operatorname{wt}(a_i + \operatorname{rad}(R_i)) = m_i \text{ for all } i \in [t]\}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{H}'$ is reflexive. If $R = \operatorname{soc}(R)$ then $|\mathcal{H}'| = s := \prod_{i=1}^{t}(n_i + 1)$, and $\mathcal{H}'$ is simply the product of the Hamming partitions and thus self-dual. If $R \neq \operatorname{soc}(R)$ then $|\mathcal{H}'| = s + 1$.

Proof. We make use of Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 2.10. For this we consider $\operatorname{soc}(R)$ as a subgroup of R. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a partition of this subgroup, and it is given as the product of the Hamming partitions $\mathcal{H}_i$ of $\operatorname{soc}(R_i)$. By Example 2.8, the dual partitions $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_i}$ are the Hamming partitions on the character groups $\widehat{\operatorname{soc}(R_i)}$, and Theorem 2.9 implies that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_1} \times \ldots \times \widehat{\mathcal{H}_t}$ of $\widehat{\operatorname{soc}(R)} = \widehat{\operatorname{soc}(R_1)} \times \ldots \times \widehat{\operatorname{soc}(R_t)}$. Proposition 2.10 yields that the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{H}'}$ of $\widehat{R}$ consists of the blocks $\operatorname{soc}(R)^\perp\backslash\{\varepsilon\}$, $\{\varepsilon\}$, and the blocks

$$\{(a_1\chi_1, \ldots, a_t\chi_t) \in \widehat{R} \setminus \operatorname{soc}(R)^\perp \mid \operatorname{wt}((a_i\chi_i)_{|\operatorname{soc}(R_i)}) = m_i \text{ for all } i \in [t]\}$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{M}\backslash\{0\}$, and where χ_i is a fixed generating character of R_i (see also Remark 3.6). Now we may use the isomorphism (2.3), which translates the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{H}'}$ of $\widehat{R}$ into the partition $\widehat{\mathcal{H}'}^{[\chi]}$ of R. But then it is clear that the latter consists of the blocks $\operatorname{rad}(R)\backslash\{0\}$, $\{0\}$, and the sets Q_m given in the theorem. All of this proves the desired duality. The cardinality of $\mathcal{H}'$ is clear from $|\mathcal{M}| = s$, and reflexivity follows from Theorem 2.7. Finally, if $R = \operatorname{soc}(R)$, then $\operatorname{rad}(R) = \{0\}$, so that in this case the blocks $P_\diamond$ and $Q_\diamond$ are missing, and the sets Q_m are the blocks of the product partition $\mathcal{H}$ on $\operatorname{soc}(R) = R$. Therefore $\mathcal{H}'$ is self-dual. ☐

Just as for $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$ we will simply write $\widehat{\mathcal{H}'}$ for the dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{H}'}^{[\chi]}$.

Corollary 4.2. *The Krawtchouk coefficients of the pair* $(\mathcal{H}', \widehat{\mathcal{H}'})$ *fromTheorem 4.1 are given by*

$$K_{\ell,m} = \begin{cases} -|\mathrm{soc}(R)| = -\prod_{i=1}^{t} q_i^{n_i}, & \textit{if } m = \diamond = \ell \\ |R \setminus \mathrm{soc}(R)|, & \textit{if } m = \diamond,\ \ell = 0, \\ 0, & \textit{if } m = \diamond,\ \ell \notin \{0, \diamond\} \\ |P_{1,m_1} \times \ldots \times P_{t,m_t}| = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \binom{n_i}{m_i}(q_i - 1)^{m_i}, & \textit{if } m \neq \diamond = \ell \\ \prod_{i=1}^{t} K_{m_i}^{(n_i,q_i)}(\ell_i), & \textit{if } m \neq \diamond \neq \ell, \end{cases}$$

for all $\ell, m \in \mathcal{M} \cup \{\diamond\}$*, and where* $K_{m_i}^{(n_i,q_i)}(\ell_i)$ *are the Krawtchouk coefficients of the Hamming partition of* $\mathrm{soc}(R_{i,1}) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{soc}(R_{i,n_i})$*. In the special case where* $R = \mathrm{soc}(R)$*, and thus each* $R_{i,j}$ *is a field, only the last case occurs.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.10 along with Theorem 2.9 and the classical Krawtchouk coefficients for the Hamming partition given in Example 2.8. ☐

Now we can return to the homogeneous weight. Note first that the equivalence relation corresponding to the partition $\mathcal{H}'$ is given by

$$a \sim_{\mathcal{H}'} b \iff \begin{cases} a, b \in R \setminus \mathrm{soc}(R) \text{ or} \\ a, b \in \mathrm{soc}(R) \text{ and } \mathrm{wt}(a_i) = \mathrm{wt}(b_i) \text{ for all } i \in [t]. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

A comparison to the homogeneous weight in Theorem 3.9 shows that $\mathcal{H}' \leq \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$. In other words, $a \sim_{\mathcal{H}'} b \implies \omega(a) = \omega(b)$ for all $a, b \in R$. The converse of this implication is not true in general. In other words, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$ may be strictly coarser than $\mathcal{H}'$. Theorem 3.9 indicates that the particular values of $q_1, \ldots, q_t$ decide on the difference between these two partitions. We cast the following definition. It is simply made to rule out the case where $\mathcal{H}' \lneq \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$, as we will show in Theorem 4.4.

Definition 4.3. Given the list $\mathcal{L} := [(q_1, n_1), \ldots, (q_t, n_t)]$ of distinct prime powers q_i and multiplicities $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is called *separating* if the following holds:
whenever $m := (m_1, \ldots, m_t)$, $\ell := (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_t) \in \mathcal{M} = [n_1]_0 \times \ldots \times [n_t]_0$ and $m \neq \ell$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{t} (q_i - 1)^{m_i} \neq \prod_{i=1}^{t} (q_i - 1)^{\ell_i} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{t} m_i \not\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{t} \ell_i \bmod 2.$$

We call a list $[q_1, \ldots, q_t]$ of distinct prime powers *separating*, if $[(q_1, 1), \ldots, (q_t, 1)]$ is separating. An integer N is *separating* if its list of distinct prime factors is separating.

As we will see below, for a separating list $\mathcal{L}$ the partition $\mathcal{H}'$ separates the elements of R according to their homogeneous weight.

The list $[2, 3, 7, 13]$ is not separating, and the condition is violated in two ways: $(2-1)(3-1)(7-1) = (13-1)$ and $(3-1)(7-1) = (2-1)(13-1)$. The list $[3, 7, 13]$ is separating. The list $[(2, 1), (3, 2), (5, 1)]$ is not separating because $(3-1)^2 = (2-1)(5-1)$. The list $[(3, 2), (5, 1)]$ is separating, but $[(3, 4), (5, 2)]$ is not. The case $m = 0$ shows that if $[(q_1, n_1), \ldots, (q_t, n_t)]$ is separating and $q_i = 2$ for some i, then $n_i = 1$. Finally, if $[q_1, \ldots, q_t]$ is not separating, then $t \geq 4$.

Starting with three distinct primes and using sufficiently large primes one easily shows that there exist infinitely many separating integers.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* R *be as in* (3.5)*. Suppose the list* $\mathcal{L} = [(q_1, n_1), \ldots, (q_t, n_t)]$ *is separating. Then* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}} = \mathcal{H}'$*, where* $\mathcal{H}'$ *is as in Theorem 4.1. As a consequence,* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}$ *is reflexive and* $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{hom}}} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}'}$*. Moreover, if* $R = \mathrm{soc}(R)$*, or equivalently, all component rings* $R_{i,j}$ *are fields, then the homogeneous partition coincides with the product of the Hamming partitions* $\mathcal{H}_i$ *on each* R_i*, and thus is self-dual.*

Proof. First, the separating property guarantees that if $q_i = 2$ then $n_i = 1$. With Remark 3.10(b) we conclude that $\omega(a) \neq 0$ for all $a \neq 0$. Thus $\{0\}$ is a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. Moreover, $R \setminus \text{soc}(R)$ is a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$, see Remark 3.10(a). Since both sets are also blocks of $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathcal{H}' \leq \mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$, it remains to show that for all $a, b \in \text{soc}(R)$ such that $\omega(a) = \omega(b)$ we have $a \sim_{\mathcal{H}'} b$. By Theorem 3.9 $\omega(a) = \omega(b)$ yields $(-1)^{\text{wt}(b)} \prod_{i=1}^{t}(q_i - 1)^{\text{wt}(a_i)} = (-1)^{\text{wt}(a)} \prod_{i=1}^{t}(q_i - 1)^{\text{wt}(b_i)}$, where $\text{wt}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \text{wt}(a_i)$ and similarly for b. Since $q_i - 1 > 0$, this leads to $\text{wt}(a) \equiv \text{wt}(b) \bmod 2$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{t}(q_i - 1)^{\text{wt}(a_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{t}(q_i - 1)^{\text{wt}(b_i)}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is separating, this yields $\text{wt}(a_i) = \text{wt}(b_i)$ for all $i \in [t]$, and this means $a \sim_{\mathcal{H}'} b$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

Before turning to the non-separating case, let us present some examples of the homogeneous weight on integer residue rings. We use the notation from (3.5).

Example 4.5. (a) Let $t = 1$, $n_1 = 1$, and $q_1 = q$ (so $[(q, 1)]$ is separating), thus R is a local Frobenius ring with $|R/\text{rad}(R)| = q$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}$ and the partitions $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} = \mathcal{H}' = P_0 \,|\, P_1 \,|\, P_\diamond$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}'} = Q_0 \,|\, Q_\diamond \,|\, Q_1$ read as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} = \{0\} \,|\, \text{soc}(R)\backslash\{0\} \,|\, R \setminus \text{soc}(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} = \{0\} \,|\, \text{rad}(R)\backslash\{0\} \,|\, R^*.$$

The Krawtchouk matrix, indexed row- and columnwise by the partition sets of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ in the given order, is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q-1 & |R| - q \\ 1 & q-1 & -q \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $R = \mathbb{Z}_8$, this matrix also appears in [3, p. 1553] by Camion.

(b) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q^s}$, where p, q are distinct primes and $r, s \geq 1$. The list $[p, q]$ is separating. Note that $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_N$, where $N = p^r q^s$, and hence N is separating. For the component rings, socle and radical satisfy $\text{soc}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})\backslash\{0\} = \mathcal{O}_{p^{r-1}}$ (the $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})^*$-orbit) and $\text{rad}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}) = (p)$ and analogously for $\mathbb{Z}_{q^s}$. The homogeneous partition $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ and the values of the homogeneous weight are given by (in the order $P_{(0,0)} \,|\, P_{(1,0)} \,|\, P_{(0,1)} \,|\, P_{(1,1)} \,|\, P_\diamond$)

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$	0	$\mathcal{O}_{p^{r-1}} \times \{0\}$	$\{0\} \times \mathcal{O}_{q^{s-1}}$	$\mathcal{O}_{p^{r-1}} \times \mathcal{O}_{q^{s-1}}$	$R \backslash (p^{r-1}) \times (q^{s-1})$
ω	0	$\frac{p}{p-1}$	$\frac{q}{q-1}$	$\frac{pq-p-q}{(p-1)(q-1)}$	1

The dual partition is $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} = 0 \,|\, (p) \times (q)\backslash\{0\} \,|\, (p) \times \mathbb{Z}_{q^s}^* \,|\, \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^* \times (q) \,|\, \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_{q^s}^*$, and the Krawtchouk matrix has the form

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p-1 & q-1 & (p-1)(q-1) & p^r q^s - pq \\ 1 & p-1 & q-1 & (p-1)(q-1) & -pq \\ 1 & p-1 & -1 & 1-p & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & q-1 & 1-q & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $N = pq$, the last block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ and the second block of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$ are missing, and so are the last column and second row of K. For this case, the values of the homogeneous weight also appears in [1, Ex. 3] by Byrne.

Here is the smallest non-separating integer N. As one may expect, the homogeneous partition is not reflexive.

Example 4.6. Consider $\mathbb{Z}_N$, where N is the non-separating integer $N = 2\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 13 = 546$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_{13}$ and since $n_i = 1$ for all i, the partition $\mathcal{H}'$ is simply the orbit partition under the multiplicative action of $\mathbb{Z}_N^*$. Hence $|\mathcal{H}'| = 2^4 = 16$. But the elements in the blocks $P_{(0,1,1,0)}$ and $P_{(1,0,0,1)}$ (for the notation see (4.2)) have the same homogeneous weight $11/12$, and similarly the elements in $P_{(0,0,0,1)} \cup P_{(1,1,1,0)}$ have weight $13/12$. This leads to $|\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}| = 14$. On the other hand, using a computer algebra program one computes that the dual partition $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$ is exactly the orbit partition $\mathcal{H}'$ and thus $|\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}| = 16$. Hence $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is not reflexive.

The situation of the last example is true for all non-separating cases.

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be as in* (3.5) *and assume that $\mathcal{L} = [(q_1, n_1), \ldots, (q_t, n_t)]$ is non-separating. Then the partition $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is not reflexive. More precisely, $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ is strictly coarser than the partition $\mathcal{H}'$ from Theorem 4.1 whereas $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}'}$. Thus $|\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}| > |\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}|$.*

Proof. As in Theorem 4.1 we denote the blocks of $\mathcal{H}'$ by P_m, $m \in \mathcal{M} \cup \{\diamond\}$. Let $K_{\ell,m}$ be the Krawtchouk coefficients of the pair $(\mathcal{H}', \widehat{\mathcal{H}'})$. It is clear from (4.5) that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} \geq \mathcal{H}'$ and thus $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} \geq \widehat{\mathcal{H}'}$ due to Remark 2.6(b). Furthermore, $P_\diamond = R \setminus \operatorname{soc}(R)$ is a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. Theorem 3.9 shows that for $m \in \mathcal{M}$

$$a \in P_m \Longrightarrow \omega(a) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{t} \Big(\frac{-1}{q_i - 1}\Big)^{m_i}. \tag{4.6}$$

From the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ is non-separating it follows that there exist two distinct partition sets P_m, $P_{m'}$ for some m, $m' \in \mathcal{M}$ such that all elements of $P_m \cup P_{m'}$ have the same homogeneous weight. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} > \mathcal{H}'$.

We have to show that $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}'}$. In order to do so, we need some preparation. Evidently, any partition set P of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$, where $P \neq P_\diamond$, is of the form $P = \cup_{m\in\mathcal{L}} P_m$ for a subset $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\sum_{b\in P} \chi(ab) = \sum_{m\in\mathcal{L}} \sum_{b\in P_m} \chi(ab) = \sum_{m\in\mathcal{L}} K_{\ell,m} \text{ for any } a \in Q_\ell, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}'} = (Q_\ell)_{\ell\in\mathcal{M}\cup\{\diamond\}}$.

Let ℓ, $\ell' \in \mathcal{M} \cup \{\diamond\}$ be such that Q_ℓ and $Q_{\ell'}$ are contained in the same partition set of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$. We have to show that $\ell = \ell'$. Let us first convince ourselves that ℓ, ℓ' have to be in $\mathcal{M}\backslash\{0\}$. To do so, note that $Q_0 = \{0\}$ is a partition set of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$ as this is a general property of dual partitions, see Remark 2.6(a). Thus $\ell \neq 0 \neq \ell'$. Next, recall that the index $\diamond$ occurs only if $R \neq \operatorname{soc}(R)$ and that in this case $P_\diamond$ is a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. Corollary 4.2 shows that $K_{\ell,\diamond} = 0$ for all $\ell \notin \{0, \diamond\}$ whereas $K_{\diamond,\diamond} < 0$ and $K_{0,\diamond} > 0$. This implies that $Q_\diamond$ must also be a block of $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}}$. All of this shows that we may assume $\ell, \ell' \in \mathcal{M}\backslash\{0\}$.

With the aid of (4.7) our assumption on ℓ, ℓ' may be written as

$$\sum_{m\in\mathcal{L}} K_{\ell,m} = \sum_{m\in\mathcal{L}} K_{\ell',m} \text{ for all partition sets } \bigcup_{m\in\mathcal{L}} P_m \text{ of } \mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}. \tag{4.8}$$

We will make use of the Krawtchouk coefficients for the case where $m = e_i = (0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ (with 1 in the ith position). From Corollary 4.2 we have $K_{\ell,e_i} = K_1^{(n_i,q_i)}(\ell_i) = (n_i - \ell_i)q_i - n_i$, which along with (4.8) results in the implication

$$P_{e_i} \text{ is a block of } \mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}} \Longrightarrow \ell_i = \ell'_i. \tag{4.9}$$

Hence we aim at showing that the sets P_{e_i} are blocks of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. In order to do so, we assume without loss of generality that

$$q_1 < \ldots < q_t. \tag{4.10}$$

Case 1: Suppose that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ we have $q_i - 1 \neq \prod_{j=1}^{t}(q_j - 1)^{m_j}$ whenever $\sum_{j=1}^{t} m_j$ is odd. Then (4.6) shows that P_{e_i} is a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$, and hence (4.9) implies $\ell = \ell'$.

Case 2: Let $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ be minimal such that $q_i - 1 = \prod_{j=1}^{t}(q_j - 1)^{m_j}$ for some $m \neq e_i$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{t} m_j$ odd. Then $m = (m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let $m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(s)}$ be all such indices satisfying

$$q_i - 1 = \prod_{j=1}^{t}(q_j - 1)^{m_j^{(r)}} \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, s.$$

Then the same argument as in Case 1 along with (4.10) shows that the sets P_{e_a}, where $a < i$, are blocks of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. Hence by (4.9)

$$\ell_a = \ell'_a \text{ for } a = 1, \dots, i - 1. \tag{4.11}$$

Next, the set $P' := \bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{L}} P_m$, where $\mathcal{L} = \{e_i, m^{(1)}, \dots, m^{(s)}\}$, is a block of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$. Since $m_j^{(r)} = 0$ for $j \geq i$, the case $m \neq \diamond \neq \ell$ in Corollary 4.2 along with (2.11) shows that

$$K_{\ell, m^{(r)}} = K_{(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{i-1}, 0, \dots, 0), m^{(r)}} \text{ for all } r = 1, \dots, s$$

and analogously for ℓ'. Hence $K_{\ell, m^{(r)}} = K_{\ell', m^{(r)}}$ by (4.11) and thus

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{L}} K_{\ell, m} = \sum_{r=1}^{s} K_{\ell, m^{(r)}} + K_{\ell, e_i} = \sum_{r=1}^{s} K_{\ell', m^{(r)}} + K_{\ell, e_i}.$$

Thus (4.8) yields $K_{\ell, e_i} = K_{\ell', e_i}$ and hence $\ell_i = \ell'_i$.

Now we may continue in the same fashion for the index set $\{i + 1, \dots, t\}$. If there is no index $i' > i$ such that $q_{i'} - 1 = \prod_{j=1}^{t}(q_j - 1)^{m_j}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{t} m_j$ is odd, then we may argue as in Case 1. Otherwise, we choose the smallest $i' > i$ and argue as in Case 2. Proceeding in this manner we finally arrive at $\ell = \ell'$, as desired. □

We close the paper by summarizing the situation for integer residue rings.

Corollary 4.8. *The homogeneous partition* $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}}$ *on* $\mathbb{Z}_N$ *is reflexive if and only if* N *is separating. The partition is self-dual if and only if* N *is square-free and separating.*

We believe that the results can be extended to non-commutative Frobenius rings. In order to do so, it may be advantageous or even necessary to study the general situation of homogeneous weights on (left) modules over such rings.

References

[1] E. Byrne. On the weight distribution of codes over finite rings. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 5:395–406, 2011.

[2] E. Byrne, M. Greferath, and M. E. O'Sullivan. The linear programming bound for codes over finite Frobenius rings. *Des. Codes Cryptography*, 42:289–301, 2007.

[3] P. Camion. Codes and association schemes. In V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman, editors, *Handbook of Coding Theory, Vol. II*, pages 1441–1566. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.

[4] H. L. Claasen and R. W. Goldbach. A field-like property of finite rings. *Indag. Math.*, 3:11–26, 1992.

[5] I. Constantinescu and W. Heise. A metric for codes over residue class rings. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, 33:208–213, 1997.

[6] I. Constantinescu, W. Heise, and T. Honold. Monomial extensions of isometries between codes over $\mathbb{Z}_m$. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Algebraic and Combinatorial Coding Theory (ACCT '96),* Unicorn, Shumen, pages 98–104, 1996.

[7] P. Delsarte. An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory. *Philips Res. Repts. Suppl.*, 10, 1973.

[8] P. Delsarte and V. Levenshtein. Association schemes and coding theory. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 44:2477–2504, 1998.

[9] I. M. Duursma, M. Greferath, S. N. Litsyn, and S. E. Schmidt. A $\mathbb{Z}_8$-linear shift of the binary Golay code and a nonlinear binary $(96, 2^{37}, 24)$-code. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, IT-47:1596–1598, 2001.

[10] T. Ericson, J. Simonis, H. Tarnanen, and V. Zinoviev. F-partitions of cyclic groups. *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. and Comput.*, 8:387–393, 1997.

[11] Y. Fan, S. Ling, and H. Liu. Homogeneous weights of matrix product codes over finite principal ideal rings. Preprint 2013. arXiv: 1304.1405v1 [cs.IT].

[12] Y. Fan and H. Liu. Homogeneous weights of finite rings and Möbius functions. *Math. Ann. (Chinese)*, 31A:355–364, 2010. English translation: arXiv: 1304.4927v1 [cs.IT].

[13] H. Gluesing-Luerssen. Fourier-reflexive partitions and MacWilliams identities for additive codes. Preprint 2013. Submitted. arXiv: 1304.1207v1 [cs.IT].

[14] M. Greferath, A. Nechaev, and R. Wisbauer. Finite quasi-Frobenius modules and linear codes. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 3:247–272, 2000.

[15] M. Greferath and M. E. O'Sullivan. On bounds for codes over Frobenius rings under homogeneous weights. *Discrete Math.*, 289:11–24, 2004.

[16] M. Greferath and S. E. Schmidt. Finite ring combinatorics and MacWilliams' Equivalence Theorem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 92:17–28, 2000.

[17] Y. Hirano. On admissible rings. *Indag. Math.*, 8:55–59, 1997.

[18] T. Honold. Characterization of finite Frobenius rings. *Arch. Math.*, 76:406–415, 2001.

[19] T. Honold and I. Landjev. MacWilliams identities for linear codes over finite Frobenius rings. In D. Jungnickel and H. Niederreiter, editors, *Proceedings of The Fifth International Conference on Finite Fields and Applications Fq5 (Augsburg, 1999)*, pages 276–292. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2001.

[20] T. Honold and A. A. Nechaev. Weighted modules and linear representations of codes. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, 35:205–223, 1999.

[21] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless. *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[22] T. Y. Lam. *Lectures on Modules and Rings.* Graduate Text in Mathematics, Vol. 189. Springer, 1999.

[23] E. Lamprecht. Über I-reguläre Ringe, reguläre Ideale and Erklärungsmoduln. *I. Math. Nachr.*, 10:353–382, 1953.

[24] J. F. Voloch and J. L. Walker. Homogeneous weights and exponential sums. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 9:310–321, 2003.

[25] J. A. Wood. Extension theorems for linear codes over finite rings. In T. Mora and H. Mattson, editors, *Applied Algebra, algebraic algorithms and error-correcting codes*, volume 1255 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 329–340. Springer (Berlin), 1997.

[26] J. A. Wood. Duality for modules over finite rings and applications to coding theory. *Americ. J. of Math.*, 121:555–575, 1999.

[27] V. A. Zinoviev and T. Ericson. On Fourier invariant partitions of finite abelian groups and the MacWilliams identity for group codes. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, 32:117–122, 1996.

[28] V. A. Zinoviev and T. Ericson. Fourier invariant pairs of partitions of finite abelian groups and association schemes. *Problems Inform. Transmission*, 45:221–231, 2009.